

## **Food Balance Sheets**

Data for FBS Compilation Overview; Data Assessment and Other Preliminary Considerations

## Introduction

**Data assessment** is the **crucial first step** in the FBS compilation, as it helps compilers to ensure data comparability

#### <u>What to do</u>

- Prepare an inventory of potential data sources (for all the relevant variables for each commodity)
- Assess the quality of the data
- Document all the data sources used



### 1. Data comparability: Introduction





**E**nsure that the products being compared are actually the same?

**Example: production of rice:** 

- Production is recorded on a paddy basis
- Food is recorded on a milled basis (roughly 67% paddy equiv.)



**How** to avoid these kinds of errors?

#### Using international statistical classification

comparability of products within a balance sheet framework

comparability of data between countries





#### **UN Central Product Classification (CPC), Version 2.1**

- is maintained by the UN Statistics Division (UNSD)
- organizes products into a five-level hierarchical structure
- is mapped to the HS classification for international trade



FAO developed the CPC ver.2.1 <u>expanded</u> for agriculture, an annex on agricultural statistics

> expanded adding **two more digits** at the lower level





Although data in the SUA/FBS are reported in CPC, data on trade are usually reported in HS.

#### Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System (HS)

- Classification developed by the World Customs Organization
- Most widely utilized classification in the context of international trade
  - used by more than 200 countries and covers 98 percent of international merchandise trade
- Hierarchical structure
  - Organized in 97 chapters, includes 5,000 six-digit product groups





The use of the HS for trade data within the FBS context is recommended:

- data comparability purposes
- ease of **concordance with the CPC**



#### Some supporting material:

- Guidelines on International Classifications for Agricultural Statistics
   <a href="http://gsars.org/en/guidelines-on-international-classifications-for-agricultural-statistics/">http://gsars.org/en/guidelines-on-international-classifications-for-agricultural-statistics/</a>
- CPC Version 2.1

http://unstats.un.org/unsd/cr/downloads/CPCv2.1\_complete%28PDF%29\_English.pdf

• Correspondence table FCL/CPC/HS

http://www.fao.org/economic/ess/ess-standards/commodity/en/FAOSTAT

• Definition and classification of commodities

http://www.fao.org/waicent/faoinfo/economic/faodef/faodefe.htm





# Data comparability: b) Common units

#### Ensure that product values are reported in common units

- e.g. agricultural products can be reported in MT, in 1,000 MT, in quintales, etc.
- e.g. most trade data is reported in MT
- e.g. most calories conversion tables are in cal. Per kilograms
- $\rightarrow$  Need to unify these units

It is recommended that countries elaborate balance sheets in MT





# 1. Data comparability:c) Reference period

Common reference periods are:

1. marketing year (or crop year, or agricultural year)

begins in the month when the bulk of the crop in question is harvested

2. calendar year

begins in the first month of the calendar (Jan./Dec.)

#### 3. fiscal year

Time defined by governments for accounting purposes

Difficult to understand conceptually

Comparison not easy because different fiscal year from country to country

## It is recommended that countries compile their FBS on a calendar year basis





## 1. Data comparability: c) Reference period

	MARKETING YEAR	CALENDAR YEAR		
Advantages	It closely follows the cycle of each season	<ul><li>(i) provide "neutral" reference period</li><li>(ii) is the default reporting periods for trade data</li></ul>		
Limitations	<ul> <li>(i) for crops harvested at different points in the year</li> <li>(ii) for countries that experience multiple harvest</li> <li>(iii) trade data is often by default aggregated into calendar years</li> </ul>	It can be difficult to understand conceptually → production should be assigned to the calendar year in which most of the crop will be consumed		



## 2. Data quality, measurement error and flags



## 2. Data quality, measurement errors and flags

When compiling FBS, data are extracted from a variety of different sources

→ Different degrees of quality

e.g. **official sources** are usually more transparent, and the methodology on data collection is available

e.g. non-official sources may be less transparent



2. Data quality, measurement errors and flags: Hierarchy of data sources

#### **Official data**

- are **always preferred** for expected values
- if multiple agencies publish data relating to agricultural output (e.g. NSO and M/O Agriculture) → Reconciliation of estimates between different official sources is recommended

#### Semi-official data

- include: industry groups, trade publications, specialized sectorial publications, investigations/research conducted by product value chain experts, etc.
- are used when official data are not available





#### 2. Data quality, measurement errors and flags: Hierarchy of data sources

#### Imputation of missing data

- are used when no official or semi-official sources found
- relies on a historical data series
- separate imputation approaches are recommended for different variables in the balance sheet

#### **Estimation**

- is the **lowest quality** level of source data
- is different from imputation: it relies not on a model, but instead on expert judgment



#### 2.1. Data quality, measurement errors and flags: Flags to denote data source

As data are taken from different sources, with **different quality**, **it is recommended to publish a flag denoting the data source** 

#### Flags help users to:

- Understand which data are more reliable than others
- Assign *a priori* tolerance intervals to be used in the balancing process

Example of flags denoting data source

Source	Flag		
Official			
Semi-official	Т		
Imputed	I		
Estimated	E		



For the balancing phase it is necessary to assign an *a priori* tolerance interval

#### • How to assign *a priori* tolerance interval ?

The tolerance intervals should be assigned by variable.

the sources of the data should influence the *priori* tolerance interval value assigned to each variable,

with the lowest tolerance intervals assigned to those variables for which official data are most likely



## Sample confidence and tolerance intervals given a priori knowledge of variables

Variable	Confidence	Tolerance interval	
Production	1.0	±0%	
Trade	1.0	±0%	
Stocks	0.75	± 25%	
Food	0.90	± 10%	
Food processing	1.0	±0%	
Feed	0.75	± 25%	
Seed	0.90 ± 10%		
Tourist Food	0.75	± 25%	
Industrial Use	0.75	± 25%	
Loss	0.75	± 25%	



#### **Production**

Usually measured through agricultural surveys  $\rightarrow$  there should be **high confidence** in the production estimate

#### <u>Trade</u>

Most countries should have official data on imports and exports  $\rightarrow$  high confidence

 In case where sizeable quantity are **not registered** in official trade data, compilers may assign some degree of measurement error



#### <u>Stocks</u>

- By their very nature they may fluctuate wildly from year to year
- Most estimates on stocks are based on expert judgement (few countries measure stock)
- $\rightarrow$  the confidence is likely to be lower than estimates for other variables

#### Food availability

Although it is not typically measured by countries, food consumption is not likely to fluctuate greatly  $\rightarrow$  the confidence in the food estimate should be quite high



#### Food processing

In most cases this variable is dropped from the FBS (in order to avoid double-counting)  $\rightarrow$  **not need to assign a tolerance interval** 

#### <u>Feed</u>

Depending upon how the feed estimate is derived, it may have a larger or smaller implied tolerance interval

#### <u>Seed</u>

Quantities of seed needed for the following year are solely a function of planted area and seeding rates (remain stable)  $\rightarrow$  tolerance interval should be fairly low



#### Tourist food

It is not based on any measurements. As such, the confidence in this variable should likely be lower

#### Industrial Use

Usually only limited data is available  $\rightarrow$  the tolerance interval is likely to be high

#### <u>Loss</u>

- data on loss is very limited
- the quantity lost may vary greatly from year to year (due to crop size, constraints in storage, weather, etc.) → the tolerance interval is likely to be high



# 3. Data search and assessment



## 3. Data search and assessment

#### 1<sup>st</sup> steps in compiling FBS:

- 1. Search all possible available data sources
- 2. Assess each data sources for both data comparability and data quality
  - Note the frequency with which the data is produced, the classification system used, the unit, reference period and the data quality or flag
- 3. Document all these information in order to transparency and institutional memory



## 3. Data search and assessment

#### Sample data assessment grid

Variables	Sources	Release date/ frequency	Classification	Unit	Reference Period	Quality/Flag
Production						
Trade Stocks						
Food						
Etc.						



## Conclusion

It is really important to ensure the **comparability** when compiling a FBS

- > The SUA/FBS is in CPC. Use the HS for trade data (then converted is CPC)
- It is recommended that countries elaborate FBS in MT
- The calendar year is recommended for the reference period
- For data quality, the preferred **hierarchy of data sources** is: official data, semiofficial data, data imputation and data estimation
- It is important to give **flags** to the data
- Assign a tolerance interval which is helpful during the balancing phase



## Reference

• Global Strategy to improve agricultural and rural statistics, 2017. *Handbook of Food Balance Sheet*, Rome, Italy, chapter 3





## Thank You